

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/526,357	03/16/2000	Lecon Woo	1417Y P 415	2552
29200 7	7590 01/05/2005		EXAM	INER
BAXTER HE	EALTHCARE CORPOR	MULLIS, JEFFREY C		
RENAL DIVIS			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
DF3-3E			1711	
DEERFIELD,	IL 60015		DATE MAIL ED: 01/06/200	5

DATE MAILED: 01/05/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
055 - 4 - 45 10	09/526,357	WOO ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Jeffrey C. Mullis	1711			
The MAILING DATE of this communication of Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REITHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above, the maximum statutory per - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the may be a single provided by the Office later than three months after the may be a single provided by the Office later than three months after the may be a single provided by the Office later than three months after the may be a single provided by the Office later than three months after the may be a single provided by the Office later than three months after the may be a single provided by the Office later than three months after the may be a single provided by the Office later than three months after the may be a single provided by the Office later than three months after the may be a single provided by the Office later than three months after the may be a single provided by the Office later than three months after the may be a single provided by the Office later than three months after the may be a single provided by the Office later than three months after the may be a single provided by the Office later than three months are single provided by the Office later than three months are single provided by the Office later than three months are single provided by the Office later than three months are single provided by the Office later than three months are single provided by the Office later than three months are single provided by the Office later than three months are single provided by the Office later than three months are single provided by the Office later than three months are single provided by the Office later than three months are single provided by the Office later than three months are single provided by the Office later than three months are single provided by the Office later tha	N. R. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of thir iod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON atute, cause the application to become Al	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 09	December 2004				
	his action is non-final.				
,	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice unde					
Disposition of Claims					
4)	drawn from consideration. 5 and 100-103 is/are rejected				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam	iner.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a	accepted or b) objected to	by the Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to t					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attached	d Office Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a life	ents have been received. ents have been received in A riority documents have been eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) D Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date			
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Ir 6) Other:	nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)			

しままままます。 いちのの場合ではのできていることになりはなるだったっちょうしょ

Application/Control Number: 09/526,357

Art Unit: 1711

All previous rejections have been withdrawn in view of applicants' amendment and remarks.

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1-8, 13-27, 32-42, 47-61, 66-76, 81-95 and 100-103 rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-58 are of U.S. Patent No. 6,743,523. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the materials of the instant claims encompass those of the patent. Note that claims 19 and 22 of the patent specifically disclose applicants characteristics and radiation treatment and that the patent and application specification disclose that such treatment can be used to produce materials having applicants' characteristics.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Jeffrey C. Mullis at telephone number 703 308 2820.

Art Unit: 1711

Jeffrey C. Mullis J Mullis Art Unit 1711